# SOME THREE-DIMENSIONAL GAS FLOWS ADJACENT TO REGIONS OF REST 

PMM Vol. 32, No. 3, 1968, pp. 369-380

A.F. SIDOROV<br>(Sverdlovsk)

(Received December 22, 1967)
We study some three-dimensional gas flows near the characteristic surface extended over a homogeneous polytropic gas at rest.

Flows originating near the characteristic surface of arbitrary form extended over the gas at rest, were studied for the plane problems in [1], including the case when the interface of the perturbed region and the region at rest, was found to be a surface of weak discontinuity of the basic gasdynamic quantitios.

Below we consider, in addition to the case of a weak discontinuity, the case when the characteristic surface is a surface of atrong discontinuity. This corresponds to the propagation of normal detonation waves (the Chapman - Jonguet condition holds at the wafe front).

Solutions are constructed using the class of three-dimensional potential double waves whose equations were first obtained in [2]. Using the double waves we can only deal with the case when the characteristic surface will, at any instant $t$, be a developable surface ( 5 ) in the physical ( $x_{1}, x_{1}, x_{3}$ )-space (obviously the plane case is included completely, without any restrictions imposed on the form of the sarface).

Generally speaking, we can construct, for a given characteris tic surface, an infinite set of flows in ite vicinity. We settle the problem of inclasion of the doable wave flows into the class of arbitrary, sufficiently smooth flows corresponding to a given characteristic surface. For this purpose we derive and solve the transfer equation for the discontinuities of normal derivatives of the basic fanctions, which holds along any characteristic lying on the characteristic surface.

We also show, that for sufficiently long periods of time, the flow near an arbitrary characteristic surface ( $S$ ) can approximately be regarded as a double wave.

This is valid for both, the surface of weak discontinuity (S) and for the flow behind a normal detonation wave.

1. Equations of three-dimensional doable waves can be written in the hodograph plane of velocities $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ as [2 and 3]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{11} \Psi_{22}-2 R_{12} \Psi_{12}+R_{22} \Psi_{11}=0  \tag{1.1}\\
R_{11}\left(\Gamma_{22}+1+\Psi_{2}^{2}\right)-2 R_{12}\left(\Gamma_{12}+\Psi_{1} \Psi_{2}\right)+R_{22}\left(\Gamma_{11}+1+\Psi_{1}^{2}\right)=0 \\
R_{11} \mathrm{X}_{22}-2 R_{12} \mathrm{X}_{12}+R_{22} \mathrm{X}_{11}-0 \\
u_{3}=\Psi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right), \Gamma\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\psi c^{2}, \quad \chi=1 /(\gamma-1)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is the specific heat ratio, $c$ is the velocity of sound, $X\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ is the distribation function and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i k}=-\Gamma_{i} \Gamma_{k}+\Gamma / \dot{x}\left(\delta_{i k}+\Psi_{i} \Psi_{h}\right) \quad(i, k=\mathbf{1}, 2) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the aubscripts accompanying $\Psi, \Gamma$ and $X$ denote differentiation with respect to $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, and $\delta_{i k}$ is the Kronecker delta.

If the function $\Psi, \Gamma$ and $X$ are known, a flow in the physical ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ )-space can be found from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Gamma_{i}+u_{i}+\Psi \Psi_{i}\right) t+\mathrm{X}_{i}=x_{i}+\Psi_{i} x_{3} \quad(i=1,2) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing polar coordinates $r$ and $\varphi\left(u_{1}=r \cos \varphi, u_{2}=r \sin \varphi\right)$, we can write (1.1) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{r r}\left(-\frac{\Gamma_{\varphi}{ }^{2}}{r^{2}}+\frac{\Gamma}{x}+\frac{\Gamma}{x} \frac{\Psi_{\varphi}{ }^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)+\frac{2}{r^{2}}\left(\Psi_{r \varphi}-\frac{\Psi_{\varphi}}{r}\right)\left(\Gamma_{r} \Gamma_{\varphi}-\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma^{\prime}} \Psi_{r} \Psi_{\varphi}\right)+ \\
& +\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\Psi_{\varphi \varphi}+r \Psi_{r}\right)\left(-\Gamma_{r}^{2}+\frac{\Gamma}{x}+\frac{\Gamma}{x} \Psi_{r}^{2}\right)=0 \\
& \Gamma_{r r}\left(-\frac{\Gamma_{\varphi}{ }^{2}}{r^{2}}+\frac{\Gamma}{\chi}+\frac{\Gamma}{\chi} \frac{\Psi_{\varphi}{ }^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)+\frac{2}{r^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{r \rho}-\frac{\Gamma_{\varphi}}{r}\right)\left(\Gamma_{r} \Gamma_{\varphi}-\frac{\Gamma}{\chi} \Psi_{r} \Psi_{\varphi}\right)+ \\
& -\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{\varphi \varphi}+r \Gamma_{r}\right)\left(-\Gamma_{r}^{2}+\frac{\Gamma}{\chi}+\frac{\Gamma}{x} \Psi_{r}^{2}\right)-\Gamma_{r}^{2}-\frac{\Gamma_{\varphi}{ }^{2}}{r^{2}}+ \\
& +2 \frac{\Gamma}{\kappa}\left(1+\Psi_{r}^{2}+\frac{\Psi_{\varphi}{ }^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)-\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\Gamma_{r} \Psi_{\varphi}-\Gamma_{\varphi} \Psi_{r}\right)^{2}=0  \tag{1.4}\\
& \mathrm{X}_{r r}\left(-\frac{\Gamma_{\varphi}{ }^{2}}{r^{2}}+\frac{\Gamma}{x}+\frac{\Gamma}{x} \frac{\Psi_{\varphi}{ }^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)+\frac{2}{r^{2}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{r \varphi}-\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\varphi}}{r}\right)\left(\Gamma_{r} \Gamma_{\varphi}-\frac{\Gamma}{\chi} \Psi_{r} \Psi_{\varphi}\right)+ \\
& +\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{p \rho}+r \mathrm{X}_{r}\right)\left(-\Gamma_{r}{ }^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{I}}{\psi}+\frac{\Gamma}{\chi} \Psi_{r}{ }^{2}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

which is more auitable for investigating the behavior of the flows defined by (1.1), at the boundary of the region of rest ( $u_{i}=0, i=1,2,3$ ).

Let us take the velocity of sound in the unperturbed gas as unit velocity. In [4], threedimensional double waves were used to construct flows behind the normal detonation and shock waves of constant intensity. Boundary value problems were stated and distinct solations atadiod. When investigating the conditions on the line $r=0$ for the system (1.4) which arise in the problem on the adjacency of the double wave to the region of rest we shall use, in addition to the results of [4], the following theorem.

Theorem. Instantaneous stream lines of a perturbed flow are, at any instant, orthogonal to the weak discontinuity surface over the gas at rest.

This theorem was proved for the plane case in [1] and it can be easily extended to the three-dimencional case uaing the kinematic conditions of compatibility on a weak discontinuity. Using this theorem and performing on $r$ and $\varphi$ the operations analogous to those performed in [1 and 4] when investigating the flows behind a shock wave, we find that the conditions

$$
\begin{array}{rlrlrl}
\Psi & =0, & \Psi_{\varphi} & =0, & \Psi_{r} & =\mu(\varphi) \\
\Gamma & =x, & \Gamma_{\Phi} & =0, & \Gamma_{r} & =v(\varphi) \\
X & =0, & X_{\varphi} & =0, & X_{r}=\lambda(\varphi) \\
& & v^{2}(\varphi) & =1+\mu^{2}(\varphi) \tag{1.6}
\end{array}
$$

should hold on the line $r=0$.
Condition (1.6) in obtained on panaing to the limit as $r \rightarrow 0$ in

$$
\frac{\left|u_{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}+u_{1}+\Psi \Psi_{1}\right)+u_{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}+u_{2}+\Psi \Psi_{2}\right)\right|}{\sqrt{u_{1}^{2}+u_{3}^{2}+\Psi^{3}}}=1
$$

which was obtained in [4] and which correaponds to the fact that the normal velocity of propagation of a weak diecontinuity is constant. Equations of motion of a weak discontinuity can be obtained from (1.3) by putting $r=0$, and are

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=\lambda \cos \varphi-\lambda^{\prime} \sin \varphi-\left(\mu \cos \varphi-\mu^{\prime} \sin \varphi\right) x_{3}+\left(v \cos \varphi-v^{\prime} \sin \varphi\right) t \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
x_{2}=\lambda \sin \varphi+\lambda^{\prime} \cos \varphi-\left(\mu \sin \varphi+\mu^{\prime} \cos \varphi\right) x_{3}+\left(v \sin \varphi+v^{\prime} \cos \varphi\right) t
$$

Combining the conditions of the developability of a ruled surface given by (1.7) in the ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ )-space we have, at any instant $t=t_{0}$,

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
-\mu \cos \varphi+\mu^{\prime} \sin \varphi & -\left(\mu \sin \varphi+\mu^{\prime} \cos \varphi\right) & 1 \\
\sin \varphi\left(\mu^{\prime \prime}+\mu\right) & -\cos \varphi\left(\mu^{\prime \prime}+\mu\right) & 0 \\
-\sin \varphi\left[\lambda^{\prime \prime}+\lambda+\left(v^{\prime \prime}+v\right) t_{0}\right] & \cos \varphi\left[\lambda^{\prime \prime}+\lambda+\left(v^{\prime \prime}+v\right) t_{0}\right] & 0
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Thus we see that the surface of a weak discontinuity behind which the flow is a double wave, can only be a developable surface. The functions $\lambda(\varphi)$ and $\mu(\varphi)$ are arbitrary and can be used to specify, at any instant, an arbitrary developable surface as the surface of weak discontinuity. The valnes $\mu=0$ and $\nu= \pm 1$ correspond to the plane case studied in [1].

Continuing our investigation of the problems posed for the system (1.4) with the initial conditions (1.5) on the line $r=0$, we shall assume that the functions $\Psi$ and $\Gamma$ have continuons fourth order mixed derivatives containing second order derivatives with respect to $r$ and $\varphi^{\prime}$ in any order. This assumption is essential, and this property of $\Psi$ and $\Gamma$ is manifest in a number of real flows, e.g. (see also [1]) in a self-similar flow occurring behind a conical normal detonation wave generated by a point source moving with constant velocity. This flow was first investigated in [5] where it was found that a self-similar double wave adjoins, through a weak discontinuity, the region of a homogeneons gas moving with constant velocity.

Note. Obviously, all the results formalated for the flows adjacent to the region of rest through a weak discontinuity remain valid when the region of rest is replaced by the region of uniform motion.

Using the previous assumptions we can write $\Psi$ and $\Gamma$ as

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\Psi=r \mu+1 / 2 r^{2} \Psi_{r r}\left(r_{\psi}, \varphi\right) & \left(0 \leqslant r_{\psi} \leqslant r\right) \\
\Gamma=x+r v+1 / 2 r^{2} \Gamma_{r r}\left(r_{\Gamma}, \varphi\right) & \left(0 \leqslant r_{\Gamma} \leqslant r\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{array}
$$

and obtain similar expressions for $\Psi_{r}, \Psi_{\Phi}, \Gamma_{r}$, and $\Gamma_{\varphi}$. These, together with (1.6), yield the following expressions for the coefficients of (1.4)

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{\Gamma_{\varphi}^{2}}{r^{2}}+\frac{\Gamma}{x}+\frac{\Gamma}{x} \frac{\Psi_{\varphi}^{2}}{r^{2}}=-v^{\prime 2}+1+\mu^{\prime 2}+O(r) \\
\Gamma_{r} \Gamma_{\varphi}-\frac{\Gamma}{x} \Psi_{r} \Psi_{\varphi}=O\left(r^{2}\right)  \tag{1.9}\\
-\Gamma_{r}^{2}+\frac{\Gamma}{x}+\frac{\Gamma}{x} \Psi_{r}^{2}=r\left(-2 \nu \Gamma_{r r}\left(r_{\Gamma}^{*}, \varphi\right)+2 \mu \Psi_{r r}\left(r_{\psi}^{*}, \varphi\right)+\frac{v^{3}}{x}\right)+O\left(r^{2}\right) \\
\left(0 \leqslant r_{\Gamma^{*}} \leqslant r, 0 \leqslant r_{\psi} \leqslant r\right) \\
\Gamma_{r} \Psi_{*}-\Gamma_{\bullet} \Psi_{r}=r\left(v \mu^{\prime}-\mu v^{\prime}\right)+O\left(r^{2}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Multiplying all Eqs. of (1.4) by $r$ and using (1.9) we easily see, that all coefficienta of the second order derivatives in the new syatem are continuous at $r=0$, and the
coefficients of the second order derivatives with respect to $\varphi$ are of the order $O$ (1). The line $r=0$ will represent, for this system, a line of parabolic degeneracy and it will, in addition, be a characteristic.

The system (1.4) is nonlinear and the theorems of existence and uniqueness of solution of the problem when the initial conditions are given on the characteristic line of parabolicity, are only known for some linear systems in both, hyperbolic and elliptic cases. Here we shall attempt to obtain, within the assumptions made, approximate representations of the functions $\Psi$ and $\Gamma$, a simplified equation for $X$ and to investigate the problems with for given initial conditions for this equation. Solving the obtained equation we can obtain an approximate expression for $X$. Moreover, this equation can be used as a model in solving the problems for (1.4). In the hyperbolic case it can be shown to possess a solution. Both, the system (1.4) near $r=0$ and the equation for $X$, are of the same form since the coefficients accompanying the second derivatives are the same in all Eqs, of (1.4).

Let us find approximate expressions for $\Psi$ and $\Gamma$. Using the relations (1.9) following from the continuity of $\Psi_{r r \varphi \varphi}$, and $\Gamma_{\text {rrep }}$, and the estimates
$\Psi_{r \rho}-\frac{\Psi^{\prime}}{r}=O(r), \quad \Gamma_{r \varphi}-\frac{\Gamma_{\varphi}}{r}=O(r), \quad \frac{\Psi_{\varphi p}}{r}=\mu^{\prime \prime}=O(r), \quad \frac{\Gamma_{\nu \rho}}{r}=v^{\prime \prime}+O(r)$
obtained from the first two Eqs. of (1.4) by retaining in them the terms of the order $O$ (1) we obtain, for $\Psi_{r r}(0, \varphi)$ and $\Gamma_{r r}(0, \varphi)$, the following system of equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Psi_{r r}^{\prime}(0, \varphi)\left(-v^{\prime 2}+\mu^{\prime 2}+1\right)+\left(\mu^{\prime \prime}+\mu\right)\left(-2 v \Gamma_{r r}(0, \varphi)+2 \mu \Psi_{r r}(0, \varphi)+v^{3} / \chi\right)=0 \\
\Gamma_{r r}(0, \varphi)\left(-v^{\prime 2}+\mu^{\prime 2}+1\right)+\left(v^{\prime \prime}+v\right)\left(-2 v \Gamma_{r r}(0, \varphi)+2 \mu \Psi_{r r}(0, \varphi)+v^{3} / x\right)- \\
-v^{2}-v^{\prime 2}+2\left(v^{2}+\mu^{\prime 2}\right)-\left(v \mu^{\prime}-\mu v^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{1.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

which yield the following approximate expressions for $\Psi$ and $\Gamma$ at small $r$ :
$\Psi \approx r \mu+\frac{\gamma+1}{\because} \frac{v^{2} \mu^{2}}{v^{2}+\mu^{2}}\left(\mu^{\prime \prime}+\mu\right) r^{2}, \quad \Gamma \approx x+r v+\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(r+1) v^{3} \mu^{2}\left(v^{\prime \prime}+v\right)}{v^{2}+\mu^{2}}-v^{2}\right] r^{2}$
(we note that the third order derivatives with respect to $r$, if they exist, cannot, in general, be determined uniquely [1]).

Assuming that $X$ is twice continuously differentiable in $r$ and $\varphi$ at small $r$ and using (1.9) and (1.5) together with the expressions for $\Psi_{r r}(0, \varphi)$ and $\Gamma_{r r}(0, \varphi)$, we obtain the following approximate expression for $X$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \chi_{r r}-\frac{(\gamma+1) v^{3} \mu^{2}}{v^{\prime 2}+\mu^{2}}\left(\chi_{\varphi \varphi}+r \chi_{r}\right)=0 \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sign of $v(\varphi)$ determines the type of this equation. Thus, if the density in the perturbed flow increases with increasing diatance from the weak discontinuity Eq. (1.12) is hyperbolic for $r>0$ (this occurs e.g. near a weak shock expanding behind a normal detonation wave). If, on the other hand, the density decreases (as it happens in a rarefaction wave), then (1.12) is elliptic for $r>0$. In the plane case ( $\mu=0, \nu= \pm 1$ ) (1.12) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
r X_{r r} \pm(\gamma+1)\left(\mathrm{X}_{\Phi \Phi}+r \mathrm{X}_{r}\right)=0 \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the earlier work [1] we have investigated problems for (1.13) with initial conditions (1.5), using the theorems given in [6 and 7]. We can apply the same procedure to (1.12) after reducing it to its canonical form. Suppose, that in the hyperbolic case we have

$$
\frac{v^{3} \mu^{2}}{v^{2}-\mu^{2}} \neq 0
$$

along the segment $M N$ lying on the axis $r=0$. Then a anique, twice continuously differentiable in $r$ and $\varphi$ solution of the stated problem exists in the region bounded by the characteristics of two families

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sqrt{(\gamma+1) r}+\int \frac{v^{\prime 2}+\mu^{2}}{v^{3} \mu^{2}} d \varphi=C_{1} ; \quad 2 \sqrt{(\gamma+1) r}-\int \frac{v^{2}+\mu^{2}}{v^{3} \mu^{2}} d \varphi=C_{1} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

passing through $M$ and $N$, provided that $\lambda(\varphi)$ has four continuous derivatives. In the elliptic case the statement of the problem is incorrect in the classical sense, and various regularizing methods [1] can be employed to obtain its solution.

When $\Psi$ and $\Gamma$ depend only on $r$ ( $\nu$ and $\mu$ are constant and the flow is steady), Fourier's method can be used to solve (1.12). The following approximate expression can be derived for the general case, at small $r$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X} \approx r \lambda+\frac{\gamma+1}{2} \frac{v^{3} \mu^{2}}{v^{2}+\mu^{2}}\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}+\lambda\right) r^{2} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Expression for $\chi_{r r}(0, \varphi)$ is obtained analogously to those for $\Psi_{r r}(0, \varphi)$, and $\Gamma_{r r}(0,(f))$. Having found $X$ in the small neighborhood of $r=0 . \Delta r=h \ll 1$, we can solve (1.12) in the hyperbolic region using the method of characteristics.

Thus the class of three-dimensional donble waves allows the construction of some solutions of gasdynamic equations in the vicinity of a weak shock, provided that this shock is, for any $t$, a developable surface.
2. In the following, an essential part will be played by the transport equation of the discontinaities of the directional derivatives of the functions $u_{j}$ and $c$, viz. $\left\{u_{i} \Phi\right\}$, and [ $c_{\Phi}$ ] along any bicharacteristic lying on the characteristic surface

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=t \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the plane case when the surface $\Phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=t$ is a surface of a weak shock propagating through an anperturbed gas with the velocity of sound, the transport equation is derived and investigated in [1] ([9] indicates the possibility of obtaining such an equation; in the case of a two-dimensional flow the transport equation for the discontinuities of derivatives along the characteristics was studied in detail in [10]).

In the following we shall assume that the characteristic surface (2.1) propagates with a normal velocity $D$ uniform with respect to a fixed coordinate system (velocity of propagation relative to the gas is equal to the velocity of sound $c$; if on (2.1) $|u|=0$, i.e. a weak shock moves through the region of rest, we have $D=c$ ). Moreover we shall assume that the velocity of sound, and hence the density, are constant on the characteristic surface, and the velocity vector $u$ is always orthogonal to the surface and its modulus is constant. These assamptions allow us to include in our study the motions behind the normal detonation waves when the Chapman - Jouguet condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathbf{u}|+\mathbf{c}=D \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds at the wave front, which means that the detonation wave moves with the velocity of sound relative to its combustion products. The function $\Phi$ satisfies the usual characteristic Eq.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Phi_{1} u_{1}+\Phi_{2} u_{2}+\Phi_{3} u_{3}-1\right)^{2}-c^{2}\left(\Phi_{1}^{2}+\Phi_{2}^{2}+\Phi_{3}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.2) together with the previously made assumptions, we can write (2.3) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}^{2}+\Phi_{2}^{2}+\Phi_{3}^{2}=\frac{1}{D^{2}}, \quad \Phi_{i}=\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{i}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations of the bicharacteristics can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{i}^{*}=c^{2} \Phi_{i}-u_{i}\left(u_{1} \Phi_{1}+u_{2} \Phi_{2}+u_{3} \Phi_{3}-1\right) \\
t^{\cdot}=-\left(u_{1} \Phi_{1}+u_{2} \Phi_{2}+u_{3} \Phi_{3}-1\right) \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The right null vector of the characteristic matrix of the gas dynamic equations written for $u_{i}$ and $c$, has the form

$$
\mathbf{r}=\left(\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}, \Phi_{3}, \gamma-1 / 2 \sqrt{\Phi_{1}^{2}+\Phi_{2}^{2}+\Phi_{3}^{2}}\right)
$$

therefore we have the following relation for the discontinuities of the direction derivatives of the functions $u_{i}$ and $c$ ([9])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left[u_{1 \Phi}\right],\left[u_{2 \Phi}\right],\left[u_{3 \Phi}\right],\left[c_{\Phi}\right]\right)=\sigma \mathbf{r} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\sigma$ is some scalar function, and $\left[f_{\oplus}\right]$ corresponds, in the case of a weak shock, to the difference of two directional derivatives of the function $f$, taken at both sides of the shock (when one side is at rest, we have $\left[f_{\Phi}\right]=f_{\Phi}$ in the perturbed flow).

In the case of a normal detonation wave we have two distinct possibilities. First we shall consider the case when the derivatives of $u_{i}$ and $c$ are finite at the wave front (this is a care of secondary importance, since such flows can only occur behind the plane detonation waves). Let us put $\left[f_{\Phi}\right]=f_{1 \Phi}-f_{2 \Phi}$, where $f_{1 \Phi}$ and $f_{2 \Phi}$ are direction derivatives corresponding to two arbitrary flows behind the detonation wave of the given form. Jumps in [ $f_{\Phi}$ ] satisfy ( 2.6 ) when $f$ denotes a basic function, and when the derivatives are finite, the case of normal detonation can be dealt with similarly to the case of a weak shock.

Let us now introduce new independent variables into the gasdynamic equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i}=x_{i} \quad(i=1,2,3), \quad \xi_{4}=\Phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)-t \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Utilising now the fact that the differentiation with respect to $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ and $\xi_{3}$ will be directed inwards on the characteristic surface, we can carry out operations analogous to those performed in [1] (par, 3); equation defining $\sigma$ can be written in ita final form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime}+\frac{r+1}{2 D^{2}} \sigma^{2}+D \sigma\left(\Phi_{11}+\Phi_{22}+\Phi_{33}\right)\left(\frac{c}{2}+\frac{r-1}{4}|\mathbf{u}|\right)=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have used $t$ as a parameter on the bicharacteristic ( $\sigma^{\prime}$ denotes a derivative of $\sigma$ with respect to $t$ ), $c=$ const is the velocity of sound and $|u|=$ const is the modulus of the velocity vector on the surface $\Phi=t$. Eq. ( 2.8 ) is the Bernoulli's equation and it can always be integrated in quadratures, provided that an explicit expression for $\Delta \Phi$ as a function of $t$ is known along the given bicharacteristic.

In the case of a weak shock $(|u|=0, c=D)$ Eq. (2.8) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime}+(\gamma+1) /\left(2 c^{2}\right) \sigma^{2}+c^{2} / 2 \sigma \Delta \Phi=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider the case when the normal derivatives of $u_{i}$ and $c$ become infinite on the detonation wave front. We shall follow [8] (chapt. 6) and represent the first approximations of $u_{i}$ and $c$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{i} & =S(\varphi) g_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)+q_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right) \\
c & =S(\varphi) g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)+q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\varphi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)=0$ is the equation of the characteristic surface, $S(\varphi)$ is a certain generalized function such that $S(0)=0$ and the derivative $S_{-1}(\varphi)$ of which becomes infinite when $\varphi=0$, the functions $g_{i}$ and $g$ do not vanish and are sufficiently smooth on the characteristic surface, while functions $q_{i}$ and $q$ may include weaker singularities and their limiting values on $\varphi=0$ coincide with the values of $u_{i}$ and $c$ on the wave front. We shall investigate the behavior of the functions $g_{i}$ and $g$ along the bicharacteristics on
the surface $\varphi=0$. It is obvious that outside the surface $\varphi=0(\Phi=t)$ functions $g_{i}, g, q_{i}$, and $q$ are not uniquely definable.

Assuming that the expressions for $u_{i}$ and $c$ hold in some neighborhood of $\varphi=0$ and equating to zero the coefficients of $S_{-1}(\varphi)$ in the system of gasdynamic equations (as it was done in [8] for a linear system), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}, g\right)=\sigma_{D} \mathbf{r} \tag{2.1:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{D}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, t\right)$ is a scalar function.
Let us now assume that two distinct flows behind a detonation wave of the given form, are specified by $g_{i}{ }^{*}, g^{*}$ and $g_{i}, g$ with the corresponding $\sigma_{D^{*}}$ and $\sigma_{D}$ respectively. Then, multiplying in the usaal manner all equations by the components of the left null vector of the characteristic matrix, performing the summation and taking, subsequently, the difference of two relations obtained for two solutions with $\sigma_{D^{*}}$ and $\sigma_{D}$, we obtain the following transport equation for $\sigma_{R}=\sigma_{D^{*}}-\sigma_{D}$ along the bicharacteristic

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{R}^{\prime}+c D \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \Delta \Phi \sigma_{R}=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now give a geometrical interpretation of $\Delta \Phi$. We know that, when a surface is given by Eq. $x_{3}=F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, then the radii of curvature $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ of the principal normal cross sections are defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(r t-s^{2}\right) R^{2}-h\left[2 p q s-\left(1+p^{2}\right) t-\left(1+q^{2}\right) r\right] R+l^{4}=0 \\
\left(r=\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}, t=\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}, s=\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}, p=\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{1}}, q=\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{2}}, \quad h=\sqrt{1+p^{2}+q^{2}}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Obtaining $r, t, s, p$ and $q$ from (2.1) in which we find an implicit expression for $x_{3}$ as a function of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ and using the relation

$$
2\left(\Phi_{1} \Phi_{2} \Phi_{12}+\Phi_{2} \Phi_{3} \Phi_{23}+\Phi_{1} \Phi_{3} \Phi_{13}\right)+\Phi_{1}^{2} \Phi_{11}+\Phi_{2}^{2} \Phi_{22}+\Phi_{3}^{2} \Phi_{33}=0
$$

derived from (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R_{1}}+\frac{1}{R_{2}}=\frac{2 p q s-\left(1+p^{2}\right) t-\left(1+q^{2}\right) r}{h^{3}}=D \Delta \varphi \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\Delta \Phi=2 H / D$ where $H$ is the mean curvature of the surface (2.1).
Let ns find $\Delta \Phi=f(t)$ along the bicharacteristic for the case of a weak shock. Assuming withont loss of generality that $c=1$, we can write the Eqs. of bicharacteristics as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}^{*}=\Phi_{i}, \quad i=1 \tag{2.1i}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Sigma \Phi_{k} \Phi_{i k}=0, \Phi_{i}$ are constant along any fixed bicharacteristic. Consequently the bicharacteristics are atraight lines in the ( $\left.x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, 8\right)$-space. Utilizing the constancy of $\Phi_{i}$ and differentiating $\Delta \Phi$ along the bicharacteristic we obtain, with the help of (2.1), the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\Delta \Phi^{*}\right)=(\Delta \Phi)^{2}-\frac{2}{\Phi_{1} \Phi_{2} \Phi_{3}}\left(\Phi_{1} \Phi_{12} \Phi_{13}+\Phi_{2} \Phi_{21} \Phi_{23}+\Phi_{3} \Phi_{31} \Phi_{23}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, using (2.1) we can obtain the following expression for the Gaussian curvature $K=1 / R_{1} R_{2}=r t-s^{2} / h^{4}:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{1}{\Phi_{1} \Phi_{2} \Phi_{3}}\left(\Phi_{1} \Phi_{12} \Phi_{13}+\Phi_{2} \Phi_{21} \Phi_{23}+\Phi_{3} \Phi_{31} \Phi_{23}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, the identities obtained by differentiating (2.1) twice with respect to all $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}$ and $x_{k}$ and differentiation of $K$ along the bicharacteristic (2.14), together yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(K^{\circ}\right)=-\Delta \Phi K \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, (2.15) and (2.17) together with (2.16) yield the following system of ordinary differential equations along a fixed bicharacteristic for $R_{1}(t)$ and $R_{2}(t)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}+\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right)^{\cdot}-\frac{1}{R_{1}^{2}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}^{2}}, \quad\left(\frac{1}{R_{1} R_{2}}\right)^{\cdot}=-\frac{1}{R_{1} R_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}+\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

General solution of (2.18) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}=t+C_{1}, \quad R_{2}=t+C_{2} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{i}$ denote arbitrary constants. Inserting into (2.9)

$$
\Delta \mathrm{D}=\frac{1}{t+C_{1}}+\frac{1}{t+C_{2}}
$$

and integrating the result, we obtain the solution in the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{1}{\sqrt{t+C_{1}} \sqrt{t+C_{2}}\left[(\gamma+1) \ln \left(\sqrt{t+C_{1}}+\sqrt{t+C_{2}}\right)+C\right]} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is an arbitrary constant. Formula (2.20) defines the principle of decay of partial derivatives of the solution with time, on the surface of a weak shock moving through the region of rest, in the three-dimensional case.

Using the Chapman-Jouguet condition, we can reduce the equations of bicharacteristics (2.5) in the case of normal detonation, to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{i}}{d \lambda}=c D \Phi_{i}, \quad \frac{d t}{d \lambda}=\frac{c}{D} \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting into it

$$
\lambda=\frac{D}{c} \mu, \quad x_{i}=D x_{i}^{*}
$$

we obtain, in place of (2.21) and (2.4),

$$
\frac{d x_{i}^{*}}{d \mu}=\Phi_{x_{i} *}, \quad \frac{d t}{d \mu}=1, \quad \Phi_{x_{i} *}^{2}+\Phi_{x_{i} *}^{2}+\Phi_{x_{i^{*}}}^{2}=1
$$

respectively, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{3} \Phi_{x_{i}{ }^{*} x_{i} *}=\frac{1}{t+C_{1}}+\frac{1}{t+C_{2}}, \quad \Delta \Phi=\frac{1}{D^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{t+C_{1}}+\frac{1}{t+C_{2}}\right) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting the expression obtained for $\Delta \Phi$ into (2.11) and integrating, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{R}=C\left[\left(t+C_{1}\right)\left(t+C_{2}\right)\right]^{-\frac{c}{D} \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall show in the next paragraph, that integration of (2.8) is pointless when $\Delta \Phi \neq 0$, while when $\Delta \Phi \equiv 0$, then the solution (2.8) has the form

$$
\sigma_{D}=D^{2}\left(C+\frac{r+1}{2} t\right)^{-1}
$$

3. Let ns now investigate the behavior of the partial derivatives of the basic functions on the characteristic aurface, when the flow behind a weak shock or a normal detonation
wave belongs to the class of double waves. We shall first consider the case, when the surface of a weak shock moves through the region of rest. The right null vector $r$ of the characteriatic matrix can be written, with the help of (1.5), as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}=\left(\frac{\cos \varphi}{v}, \frac{\sin \varphi}{\nu}, \frac{\mu}{v}, \frac{r-1}{2}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the vector representing the discontinaities of the directional derivatives will be

$$
\left(\left[u_{1 \Phi}\right],\left[u_{2 \Phi}\right],\left[u_{3 \Phi}\right],\left[c_{\Phi}\right]\right)=-\left(\left[\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t}\right],\left[\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial t}\right],\left[\frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial t}\right],\left[\frac{\partial c}{\partial t}\right]\right)
$$

Next we find $\partial u_{1} / \partial t$ and $\partial u_{2} / \partial t$ from (1.3) (by differentiation with respect to $t$ and change to the polar coordinates) for $r=0$, and use (3.1) and (2.6) to obtain the following expression for the scalar $\sigma_{d}$ corresponding to the double wave type flow:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{d}=\frac{1}{(\gamma+1)\left(t+B_{d}(\varphi)\right)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that $B_{d}(\varphi)=$ const along a fixed bicharacteristic. Since the surface of a weak shock is, in this case, a developable surface, it follows that one of the radii of curvature of the principal normal cross sections becomes infinite along any bicharacteristic. Expression (2.20) is then replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{1}{(\gamma+1)(t+B)}+\frac{1}{A(t+B)^{3 / 2}-(\gamma+1)(t+B)} \quad(A, B=\text { const }) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For large $t$ we obviously have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma-\sigma_{\pi}\right|=O\left(t^{-3 / 2}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider, in the space of $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{2}, t\right)$, the neighborhood $\Delta_{k}$ of a weak shock $\Phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=t$, characterised by the fact that the distance $\rho$ of any point $M$ of this neighborhood along the surface $\Phi=t$, will be less or equal to $k$, i.e. $\rho(M, \Phi) \leqslant k$. Let us now assume that the pertarbations present in the flow behind the weak shock, lag behind this shock (i.e. the flow is sufficiently smooth near the shock) and let the shock be also sufficiently smooth. Then, for $t \sim O\left(k^{-2} / s\right)$ the difference between the similar first order partial derivatives appearing in any two solutions corresponding to the given form of the shock, will be of the order $O(k)$ (see (3.4)). Then, since the limiting values of $u_{i}$ and $c$ are identical for all the flows on $\Phi=t$, we find, from the Taylor expanaions, that the basic gasdynamic quantities in $\Delta_{k}$ coincide with an accuracy of $O\left(k^{2}\right)$, when $t \sim O\left(k^{-1 / 2}\right)$.

Thus, any flow behind a weak shock can be approximated by a doable wave flow, provided that the time interval is sufficiently large.

Note. Using (2.20) we can construct any spherical flow near a weak shock travelling through a region of rest which will possess a self-similar flow of the triple wave type [3], and obtain analogous solutions.

Let us consider the case of a normal detonation. The boundary value problems for the system (1.1) when the flow behind a normal detonation wave belongs to the class of threedimensional double waves, were stated in [4]. It was shown, that, in order to construct the flows, we must solve (1.1) with the initial conditions on the line $u_{1}=f\left(u_{1}\right)$, the latter being a line on which the system is parabolic. However, this line is not a characteriatic, and the system (1.1) is hyperbolic in the neighborhood of $u_{1}=f\left(u_{1}\right)$. This problean is, in general, correct, and a unique solation cm nevally be found in the clans of double waven, corresponding to the motion of a normal detonation wave represented by a developable surface at any value of $t$.
lnitial conditione on the line $u_{1}=f\left(u_{1}\right)$ have the form [4]

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}+\Psi^{2}=A^{2}=\mathrm{const}, \quad \Psi=u_{1} \Psi_{1}+u_{2} \Psi_{2} & \text { for } \Psi \\
\Gamma=c^{2} /(\gamma-1)=\mathrm{const}, \quad \Gamma_{1} u_{1}+\Gamma_{2} u_{2}=-A c & \text { for } \Gamma  \tag{3.5}\\
\mathrm{X}=0, \quad \mathrm{X}_{2}=F\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right) & \text { for } \chi
\end{array}
$$

where $c$ is the velocity of sound, the functions $f$ and $F$ are arbitrary and are used to define the form of the wave at any instant of time. Using (3.5) and

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{1} \Psi_{1 i}+u_{2} \Psi_{2 i}=u_{1} \Lambda_{1 i}+u_{2} \Lambda_{2 i}=0 \quad(i=1,2) \\
\left(\Lambda=\Gamma+1 / 2\left(u_{1}^{3}+u_{9}^{2}+\Psi^{2}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

which follow from (3.5) and (1.1) we find, from (1.3), that all partial derivatives of the functions $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, and hence of $u_{3}$ and $c$, become infinite at the wave front if the wave is not of a plane form.

If we consider the complete gasdynamic equations and assume that

$$
u_{i}=A D \Phi_{i}, \quad c=\mathrm{const}
$$

are given on the surface $\Phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=t$ of the detonation wave which is also a characteristic surface, we find that we can calculate all the inward derivatives of $u_{i}$ and $c$ on the surface $\Phi=t$ and obtain a nonhomogeneons system of four linear equations defining the directional derivatives $u_{i} \Phi$ and $c \Phi$.

The determinant of the coefficients of $u_{i} \Phi$ and $c \Phi$ vanishes, while the rank of the matrix of the expanded aystem, as shown by a direct check, is equal to four, provided that the detonation wave is not a plane wave. Thus we see, that the fact that the partial derivatives of the basic functions become infinite at the wave front, is the most important one in the investigation of the propagation of a normal detonation wave.

We shall use the transport equation (2.11) to correlate the general case of the flow behind a detonation wave with the donble wave flow, assuming that $g_{j}{ }^{+}$and $g^{+}$refer, in the expressions for $u_{i}$ and $c$, to the double wave type flow, while $g_{i}^{-}$and $g^{-}$refer to the general type flow (provided that a flow different from the double wave type exists). Since (2.23)

$$
\sigma_{R}=\sigma_{D}^{+}-\sigma_{D}^{-}=O\left(t^{-2 \frac{c}{D} \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}}\right)
$$

It is clear that the functions $g_{i}{ }^{+}, g^{+}$and $g_{i}{ }^{-}, g^{-}$tend to the common limit with increasing t.

Thus we can also atate in the case of a normal detonation, that an arbitrary flow near the detonation wave will, at large $t$, approximate a donble wave in the sense that the principle describing how the derivatives of the basic functions decrease with increasing distance from the wave front, coincides with the corresponding law describing this phenomenon for a doable wave.

If the detonation wave is a plane wave, it follows from (2.24) that a flow near such a wave will, at large f , approximate the self-similar Riemannian wave, since the following relation holds

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=-\frac{2 D}{(\gamma+1) t}
$$

Note. Solation (2.23) for $\Phi_{k}$ can be aned to correlate arbitrary flows behind an expanding, normal spherical detonation wave with the selli-aimilar solution due to Zol'dovich [10] of the problem on the oxpanaion of a detonation wave from a single point. In this case we can almo amame, for large $t$, that an arbitrary flow tende to a melf-similar flow in the above sense.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Sidorov, A.F., On nonsteady gas flows adjacent to the regions of rest. PMM, Vol. 30, No. 1, 1966.
2. Ryzhov, O.S., On the flows with degenerate hodographs. $P M M$, Vol. 21, No. 4, 1957.
3. Sidorov, A.F., On nonstationary potential motions of a polytropic gas with a degenerate hodograph. PMM, Vol. 23, No. 5, 1959.
4. Sidorov, A.F., On compression shocks in three-dimensional flows with a degenerate hodograph. PMM, Vol. 28, No. 3, 1964.
5. Kvashnina, S.S. and Chernyi, G.G., Steady flow of a detonating gas past a cone. PMM, Vol. 23, No. 1, 1959.
6. Tersenov, S.A., On a problem with the given data on the degenerate line, for the systems of hyperbolic type equations. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vol. 155, No. 2, 1964.
7. Kel'dysh M.V., On some cases of degeneracy of the elliptic type equations at the boundary of a region. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vol. 77, No. 2, 1951.
8. Courant, R., Partial Differential Equations, Ch. 6, Wiley, Interscience, 1962.
9. Nitsche, J., Über Unstetigkeiten in den Ableitungen von Läanngen quasilinearer hyperbolischer Differentialgleichungssysteme. J. Rational Mech. and Analysis., Vol. 2, No. 2, 1953.
10. Landau, L.D. and Lifshits, E.M., Mechanics of Continuous Media. 2nd ed. Gostekhizdat, 1954.
